1	Joseph Choyya		
1	Jason Crews 1515 N Gilbert Rd Suite 107-204		
2	Gilbert, AZ 85233 Telephone: (602) 295-1875		
3	e-Mail: jason.crews@gmail.com		
4	In Propria Persona		
5			
6	UNITED STATE	S DISTRICT COURT	
7 8	DISTRICT OF ARIZONA		
9	Jason Crews,	Case No. cv24-02129-PHX-CBD	
10	Plaintiff,		
11		RULE 26(f) CASE MANAGEMENT REPORT	
12	V.	Judge: Hon. Camile Bibles	
13	Donald Hiatt,	ruage. Hom cumile Bioles	
14	Defendant.		
15	In accordance with the Court's Oc	etober 24, 2024 order (Doc. 25). Plaintiff and	
16	In accordance with the Court's October 24, 2024 order (Doc. 25), Plaintiff and defense counsel conferred by phone, on October 17, 2024, to discuss the matters set forth		
17	in Rule 26(f)(2). Accordingly, Plaintiff Jason Crews, <i>pro se</i> , and defense Donald Hiat, <i>pro</i>		
18	se, submit this Joint Case Management Report.		
19	se, submit this some case management Rep	5011.	
20	1. RULE 26(f) MEETING		
21	The following persons attended the Rule 26(f) meeting and assisted in developing		
22	this report:		
23	Plaintiff, Jason Crews, Pro Se		
24	Donald Hiatt, Pro Se		
25	2. LIST OF PARTIES		
26	Plaintiff Jason Crews		
27	Defendant Donald Hiatt		
28	3. STATEMENT OF THE NATURE OF THE CASE		
	Plaintiff brings this action to recover statutory damages for alleged willful violations		

•

of the Telephone Consumer Protection Act ("TCPA"), 47 U.S.C. § 227.

Plaintiff's claims relate to one call containing a prerecorded voice allegedly received by Plaintiff to his cell phone on July 29, 2024 without his prior express consent in violation of § 227(b). Plaintiff alleges that these calls were placed en mass in in full knowledge that the calls were prohibited thus willfully violating the TCPA.

Donald Hiatt, defendant, contends:

When the Plaintiff registered to vote, the cell phone number supplied by Plaintiff was voluntarily proffered to AZ GOP Data Center. The phone number field on a voter registration form (paper or electronic) is NOT a required field and thus was voluntarily supplied, with knowing consent, by the Plaintiff.

Before candidates are granted access to information and data stored in AZ GOP Data Center, the candidate must wet sign a Permissible Usage agreement that states:

PERMISSIBLE USAGE: It is understood that the information contained in GOP Data Center may be used for any legal purpose that may reasonably be categorized as both non-commercial and political. Under no circumstances shall any information incorporated in GOP Data Center be used for commercial purposes.

#### 4. JURISDICTION

The Court has subject matter jurisdiction over this action because the claim arises under the TCPA. *Mims v. Arrow Financial Services, LLC*, 565 U.S. 368, 132 S.Ct. 740 (2012); 28 U.S.C. § 1331.

#### 5. PARTIES WHO HAVE NOT BEEN SERVED

All Defendants were either served or have appeared and consented to the Court's jurisdiction.

#### 6. ADDITIONAL PARTIES

Plaintiff does not anticipate adding parties to the case at this time. Plaintiff reserves the right to amended and/or supplement his complaint, with leave of the court, relief following further investigation and discovery.

Defendant does not anticipate adding parties to the case at this time. Defendant

reserves the right to amend and/or supplement his complaint, with leave of the court, relief following further investigation and discovery.

#### 7. CONTEMPLATED MOTIONS

Plaintiff is not presently contemplating a need for either dispositive motions or evidentiary motions but reserves the right to pursue appropriate relief following further investigation and discovery.

Defendant is not presently contemplating a need for either dispositive motions or evidentiary motions but reserves the right to pursue appropriate relief following further investigation and discovery.

#### 8. STATUS OF RELATED CASES

Plaintiff is currently pursuing TCPA claims against other parties in the District of Arizona, but those cases involve different defendants, and are unrelated to this matter.

#### 9. EXCHANGE OF INITIAL DISCLOSURES

Plaintiff has served initial disclosures to defendants, on October 16, 2024.

Pursuant to this Court's October 25, 2024 Order, Doc 25, Defendant Donald Hiatt was required to serve initial disclosures no later than October 27, 2024. To date, Defendant Hiatt has yet to do so.

# 10. STATUS OF SERVICE OF REQUESTS UNDER RULE 26

Plaintiff to serve requested under Rules 30 and/or 31, and Plaintiff has served request under Rules 33, 34, and 35 on October 17, 2024. Defendant to serve requested under Rules 30 and/or 31 and will serve request under Rules 33, 34, and 35.

# 11.ISSUES RELATING TO THE DISCLOSURE OR DISCOVERY OF ELECTRONICALLY STORED INFORMATION (ESI)

Plaintiff intends to seek ESI in the form of records and documents which should be readily available to Defendant. Plaintiff will endeavor to limit request in scope to limit the costs associated with discovery of ESI.

Defendant intends to seek ESI in the form of records and documents which should be readily available to Plaintiff. Defendant will endeavor to limit request in scope to limit the costs associated with discovery of ESI.

#### 12. ISSUES RELATING TO PRIVILEGE OR WORK PRODUCT

The parties have not made any agreement regarding the post-production assertion of attorney-client privilege or work product protection.

The parties do not anticipate at this time any likely assertion of attorney-client privilege or work product privilege, but they reserve the right to assert such privileges as appropriate.

#### 13. POTENTIAL RULE 502(d) ORDERS

Plaintiff has no objection to a Rule 502(d) order permitting the post-production assertion of attorney-client privilege or protection under the work product doctrine.

Defendant has no objection to a Rule 502(d) order permitting the post-production assertion of attorney-client privilege or protection under the work product doctrine.

#### 14. NECESSARY DISCOVERY

# A. Proposed Discovery By Plaintiff

Plaintiff believes the following discovery is warranted in this action which he believes is reasonable and proportional to the nature and number of violations alleged:

- 1. Discovery pertaining to nature, processes, and procedures used to place phone calls to Plaintiff. This includes, but is not limited to, any automated dialing systems which may have been used, any other systems which were used independently or in conjunction with one another which could fall under the definition 47 U.S.C. § 227(a)(1), and which would be prohibited under 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(1)(A);
- 2. Discovery pertaining to the existence of written policy, available upon demand, for maintaining a do-not-call list which is required under C.F.R § 64.1200(c)(1);
  - 3. Discovery pertaining to the willfulness of Defendants alleged calls;
- 4. Plaintiff intends to request written discovery, depositions, admission, and interrogatories as permitted under Rules 26(b)(1), 32(a)(1), 33(a)(1), 34 and 36.

- 5. Plaintiff expects to depose Defendant Hiatt.
- 6. Discovery pertaining to the identity of any third parties which Defendant Hiatt may have utilized to place any alleged violative calls.

### B. Defendants' Position Regarding Discovery

Defendant believes the following discovery is warranted in this action which he believes is reasonable and proportional to the nature and number of violations alleged:

- 1. Discovery pertaining to business or financial activities that provide substantive or majority of income to Plaintiff in the last two years. This includes, but is not limited to, any related claims against other parties in the District of Arizona, whether mutually settled with a financial renumeration, Voluntarily Dismissed with Prejudice and no financial renumeration and the number of claims still open and the financial demands for relief of those open claims.
- 2. Defendant intends to request written discovery, depositions, admission, and interrogatories as permitted under Rules 26(b)(1), 32(a)(1), 33(a)(1), 34 and 36.
  - 3. Defendant expects to depose Plaintiff.

#### 15. PROPOSED DEADLINES

The parties propose that the Court adopt the following deadlines:

Completion of fact discovery: June 28, 2025

Disclosure of expert opinions by Plaintiff: Not anticipated (otherwise 6/28/2025)

Disclosure of Defendant's expert opinions: Not anticipated (otherwise 7/26/2025)

Disclosure of rebuttal expert opinions: Not anticipated (otherwise 8/16/2025)

Completion of expert depositions: Not anticipated (otherwise 9/13/2025)

Good faith settlement talks June 28, 2025

Filing of dispositive motions: July 26, 2024 (10/11/2025 if experts)

Initial Rule 33 and 34 requests April 19, 2025

Initial Rule 30 and 31 requests May 3, 2026

Rule 35 request Not anticipated

#### 16. JURY TRIAL

Plaintiff has requested a Jury trial.

Defendant - has not requested a Jury trial.

#### 17. ESTIMATED LENGTH OF TIRAL

Plaintiff estimated the trial will take 2 court days.

Defendant does not believe the trial will take more than 2 court days.

#### 18. PROSPECTS FOR SETTLEMENT

Plaintiff's statement: The parties are presently at an impasse in settlement negotiations but Plaintiff believes the they would benefit from a court facilitated settlement conference. Plaintiff has attempted to engage in good faith settlement talks with Defendant and as a result of those talks Plaintiff believes further talks will not be possible without the confidentiality protections afforded by the alternative dispute resolution process and the mediation of a magistrate.

Defendant's statement: The parties are presently at an impasse in settlement negotiations but Defendant believes they would benefit from a court facilitated settlement conference. Defendant has attempted to engage in good faith settlement talks with Plaintiff and as a result of those talks Defendant believes further talks will not be possible without the confidentiality protections afforded by the alternative dispute resolution process and the mediation of a magistrate.

# 19. ANY UNUSUAL, DIFFICULT, OR COMPLEX PROBLEMS AFFECTING THE CONDUCT OF THE CASE

Plaintiff has not identified any such issues at this time.

Defendant has not identified any such issues at this time.

#### 20. OTHER MATTERS

Plaintiff requests that this Court hold pre-trial conferences (including the Case Management Conference) by telephone or virtual means to limit the time and expense for the parties and counsel.

1	DATED October 30, 2024.
2	
3 4	By <u>/s/ Jason Crews</u> Jason Crews 1515 North Gilbert Road, Suite 107-204
5	Gilbert, Arizona 85234
6	Plaintiff in pro per
7	By /s/Donald Hiatt. (with permission) Donald Hiatt
8	Defendant in pro per
9	
10	<u>CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE</u>
11	I hereby certify that on October 30, 2024, I electronically filed the foregoing <b>RULE</b>
12	26(f) CASE MANAGEMENT REPORT with the Clerk of the Court using the CM/ECF
13	system, which sent notification of such filing to the following CM/ECF registrants and
14	mailed and emailed to anyone not registered:
15	
16	
17	By /s/ Jason Crews
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
	7